#### CASE BEING HEARD

CASE BEING HEARD			1			T	
Assessment Year:	2023	Petition No: 308 Parcel Number:		92009-820			
Owner Name:	TANNE JASON & TANNE SAYO TRUSTEES						
Situs Address:	15512 SE 40TH CIR						
Property Type:	2-story residence Acres: 0.23 NBHD					222	
Mailing Address:	15512 SE 40TH CIR						

#### ATTENDANCE

Held by:	: 🕅 Video Conference		[] Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
🛱 Daniel Weaver 🖄 Lisa Bodner 🛱 John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	Janne		

#### HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	9:02	9:20	

#### CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY: (See attached note sheet)

APPELLANT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by Lowe's to replace a sink for \$6,028.84 as of January 2024, an invoice by CHH Plastering Company to perform stucco repair work for \$2,934.27 as of January 2023, an invoice by The Home Depot for a fiberglass door replacement for \$6,762.68 as of February 2023, a bid by Lake Side Construction & Remodeling to perform construction and remodeling work throughout the subject property for \$274,362.26 as of August 2023, bid by Caldwell Roof Restoration to provide roofwoork for \$25,500 as of September 2023, a bid from PMJ Plastering & Stucco to resurface two sides and back elevation of the property for \$107,166.48 as of February 2023, and a bid by Rock Solid Waterproof The appellant submitted three comparable sales [#122156-010 sold for \$670,000 in March 2021; #122136-000 sold for \$600,000 in December 2020; and #126694-014 sold for \$775,000 in January 2023].

ASSESSOR EVIDENCE: The Assessor's evidence included three comparable sales and a cover letter recommending no change to the assessed value.

#### DECISION OF THE BOARD

	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:		
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 228,000	\$ 228,002	[] Sustained	⊐ Appellant	
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 734,553	\$ 521,520		Analysis Assessor Recomdtn	
PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$	\$	1		
TOTAL	\$ 962,553	\$ 749,520			
NOTES: Clppull	unt analysis	of casts to	🗆 Appraisal 🛛 🗅 N	epairs Aanfst Err )ther	

Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date	
Damil C. Weam	81	18/24

## CASE BEING HEARD

Tanan te satisfy the set of					
145094-012	2				
MORAN MICHAEL J					
2316 NE 80TH ST					
NBHD	133				
2316 NE 80TH ST					
	NBHD				

#### ATTENDANCE

Held by:	[X] Video	Conference []	Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:	2004	Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
宮 Daniel Weaver 図 Lisa Bodner ぬ John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	Michael Moren		

#### HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	9:41	9:43	

#### CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY: (See attached note sheet)

APPELLANT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the foundation and
POINT EVIDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a bid by TerraFirm Foundation Systems to perform work on the fou

crawlspace for \$19,468.36 as of July 2024, a bid by Smart Choice Heatin & Cooling to install a Heat Pump system for \$23,220.56 as of June 2024, a bid by Performance Building Products to replace a garage door for \$4,475.63 as of April 2023, and a bid by Cherry Roofing to replace the roofing for \$12,634.22 as of June 2023. The appellant's evidence also included a series of cost analysis for house work from Home Depot and photos of the subject property. The appellant submitted three comparable sales [#108780-064 sold for \$295,000 in December 2022; #105529-746 sold for \$261,500 in November 2022; and #108800-008 sold for \$297,000 in November 2022].

ASSESSOR EVIDENCE: The Assessor's evidence included three comparable sales, a Notice of Value, and a cover letter recommending no change to the assessed value.

#### DECISION OF THE BOARD

	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:	1
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 169,750	\$ 169,750	[ ] Sustained	D Appellant
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 160,291	\$ 125,250	[X] Changed	Analysis
PERSONAL PROPERTY	RSONAL PROPERTY \$	Ş	- []	□ Assessor
TOTAL	\$ 330,041	\$ 295,000		Recomdtn
NOTES: ppella	not Cramparable	Sales tempered	Purchase     Appraisal     Comps	<ul> <li>Repairs</li> <li>Manfst Err.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date
Dan I C. I. Jean	8/8/24

#### CASE BEING HEARD

Assessment Year:	2023	Petition No:	161	Parcel N	lumber:	195125-000	)
Owner Name:	HOSMER LISA D						
Situs Address:	14606 NE 159TH ST						
Property Type:	ranch-style residence A				5	NBHD	12
Mailing Address:	14606 NE 1	14606 NE 159TH ST					

#### ATTENDANCE

Held by:	[x] Video	Conference	[] Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
智 Daniel Weaver 位 Lisa Bodner 図 John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	1+00ma		

# HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	9:48	9:57	

#### CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY:	(See attached note sheet)
APPELLANT EV	/IDENCE: No detailed quantitative information was provided by the appellant for Board review.
ASSESSOR EVI value.	DENCE: The Assessor's evidence included three comparable sales and a cover letter recommending no change to the assessed

#### DECISION OF THE BOARD

	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:		
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 304,248	\$ 304,248	[X] Sustained	Appendit	
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 781,072	\$ 781,072	[ ] Changed		
PERSONAL PROPERTY	Ş	S	[]		
TOTAL	\$ 1,085,320	\$ 1,085,320	.0		
Notes: No suppo	rting info.		D Appraisal	<ul> <li>Repairs</li> <li>Manfst Err.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	

## AUTHORIZATION

Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date	1
Waniel C. Wearen	8/8	/24

#### CASE BEING HEARD

CASE BEING HEAND			1			0.000	1	
Assessment Year:	2023	Petition No:	682	Parcel N	Parcel Number:		227625-000	
Owner Name:	HAVERKAMPF LORRAINE & HAVERKAMPF DAVID							
Situs Address:	6742 NE 239TH ST							
Property Type:	1.5-story residence Acres: 9.41 NBł					NBHD	13	
Mailing Address:	6742 NE 23	9TH ST						

#### ATTENDANCE

Held by:	[X] Video	Conference	[] Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
虹 Daniel Weaver 図 Lisa Bodner 取 John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	-		

# HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	10:24	10:25	

# CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY:	(See attached note sheet)
APPELLANT EV sold for \$660,00	<b>IDENCE:</b> The appellant submitted three comparable sales [#193038-000 sold for \$660,000 in December 2022; #223432-000 0 in January 2023; and #275028-000 sold for \$425,999 in March 2022].
ASSESSOR EVI value.	DENCE: The Assessor's evidence included four comparable sales and a cover letter recommending no change to the assessed

## DECISION OF THE BOARD

Decision of the born	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:	
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 540,478	\$ 540,478	[×] Sustained	□ Appellant Analysis □ Assessor Recomdtn
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 349,923	\$ 349,923	[ ] Changed	
PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$	\$	[]	
TOTAL	\$ 890,401	\$ 890,401		
NOTES: Assessor	comps		🗆 Appraisal 🛛	<ul> <li>Repairs</li> <li>Manfst Err.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

# AUTHORIZATION

Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date	
Wand C. Weaun	8/8/	24

## CASE BEING HEARD

Assessment Year:	2023	023 Petition No: 370 Parcel Number:		117896-752			
Owner Name:	CAPE RYAN						
Situs Address:	208 NE 136	208 NE 136TH ST					
Property Type:	2-story residence			Acres:	0.18	NBHD	135
Mailing Address:	208 NE 136	БТН ST					

#### ATTENDANCE

Held by:	[X] Video	Conference	[] Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
🗹 Daniel Weaver 🖻 Lisa Bodner 🖬 John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	Ryan Cape	-	

# HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	10:31	10:44	

## CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY:	(See attached note sheet)
	DENCE: No detailed quantitative information was provided by the appellant for Board review.
APPELLANTEV	ID LIVEL, NO detailed quantitative information was provided by the opposition of the
ASSESSOR EVI	DENCE: The Assessor's evidence included four comparable sales and a cover letter recommending no change to the assessed
value.	
VBIOC,	

#### DECISION OF THE BOARD

	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:	
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 218,500	\$ 218,500	[X] Sustained	D Appellant
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 320,579	\$ 320,579	[ ] Changed	Analysis
PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$	S	[]	□ Assessor
TOTAL	\$ 539,079	\$ 539,079	L ]	Recomdtr
NOTES: No apy	sellant info		🗅 Appraisal 🛛	Repairs Manfst Err.

### AUTHORIZATION

Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date
Daniel C. Weann	8/8/24

CASE BEING HEARD	0000	Petition No:	450	Parcel N	lumber:	114512-000	
Assessment Year:	2023	Petition No.	450	1.0000000	2000.0280055		
Owner Name:	NHI-REIT OF NEXT HOUSE LLC						
Situs Address:	1303 SE M	CGILLIVRAY BLVD			1		1
Property Type:	n/a Acres: 3.44 NBHD						9710
Mailing Address:	PO BOX 92	PO BOX 92129					

# ATTENDANCE

Held by: 🕅 Video		Conference [ ] Phone Conference		[] In-Person	
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):	
⊠ <sup>^</sup> Daniel Weaver ⊠ Lisa Bodner ⊠ John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	Mathew Magar	ity		

# HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	11:00	11:16	

### CASE DETAILS

(See attached note sheet)
IDENCE: The appellant's evidence included a cost approach valuing the property at \$14,990,000.
DENCE: The Assessor's evidence included five comparable sales photos of the subject propertiy and comparable properties, a
DENCE: The Assessor's evidence included five comparatile sales finites of the subject property and the subject property and the second sales finites of the subject property and the second sales finites of the subject property and the second sales finites of the subject property and the second sales finites of the subject property and the second sales finites of the second sales for the second sales
1

## DECISION OF THE BOARD

Decision of the period	ASSESSOR VALUE:	BOE VALUE:	DETERMINATION:	
LAND (ACRES)	\$ 1,326,859	\$ 1,326,859	[] Sustained	⊉ Appellant
IMPROVEMENTS	\$ 15,805,037	\$ 13,663,141	[义] Changed	Analysis
PERSONAL PROPERTY	\$	\$	[]	Assessor
TOTAL	\$ 17,131,896	\$ 14,990,000	1.1	Recomdtr
NOTES: Appella Assissor	analysis had	nois (also compare I no explanation	Appraisal	<ul> <li>Repairs</li> <li>Manfst Err.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>

AUTHORIZATION Chairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date
Daniel C. Wearen	8/8/24

CASE BEING HEARD	2023	Petition No:	446	Parcel N	lumber:	114779-000	)
Assessment Year:	2025						
Owner Name:	JIM PATTISON DEVELOPMENT INC						
Situs Address:	13215 SE MILL PLAIN BLVD B						
Property Type:	n/a Acres: 4.59 NBHD						9600
Mailing Address:	PO BOX 92	129					

# ATTENDANCE

Held by:	[X] Video	Conference	[] Phone Conference	[] In-Person
Board:		Taxpayer:	Assessor:	Third Parties (if any):
철 Daniel Weaver 철 Lisa Bodner 집 John Marks	<ul> <li>John Rose</li> <li>Terry Hagberg</li> <li>Gloria Gomez- Matthews</li> <li>Joel Cline</li> </ul>	Mathew Maga	nty	

# HEARING SESSION

Hearing Held On:	Start Time:	End Time:	Recording Name:
August 8, 2024	10:49	10:59	

## CASE DETAILS

TESTIMONY:	1 and a cost approach is a cost approach value the evidence included a cost approach value of
APPELLANT EVIL the property at \$5	DENCE: The property was purchased for \$5,500,000 in March 2022. The appellant's evidence included a cost approach valuing 5,320,000.
ASSESSOR EVIDE	ENCE: (NO ASSESSOR EVIDENCE)

## DECISION OF THE BOARD

ASSESSOR VALUE:		BOE VALUE:		DETE	DETERMINATION:		
\$	3,642,062	\$	3,642,062	[]	Sustained	友 Appellant	
\$	3,274,538	\$	1,857,938	[X]	Changed	Analysis	
\$		\$		- []		Assessor	
\$	6,916,600	\$	5,500,000			Recomdtr	
ent r	alculatio	sni 1 Dav	Blastappen	ood	<ul> <li>Purchase</li> <li>Appraisal</li> <li>Comps</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Repairs</li> <li>Manfst Err.</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>	
	s s s ent a	\$ 3,642,062 \$ 3,274,538 \$ \$ 6,916,600 ant calculation	\$     3,642,062     \$       \$     3,274,538     \$       \$     5     \$       \$     6,916,600     \$       \$     calculation	\$ 3,642,062 \$ 3,642,062 \$ 3,274,538 \$ 1,857,938 \$ 6,916,600 \$ 5,500,000 ent calculation of Cast appar	ASSESSON VALUE:     DOE UNLOU!       \$     3,642,062       \$     3,274,538       \$     \$       \$     \$	ASSESSON VALUE.       bod middle         \$       3,642,062       \$       3,642,062       [] Sustained         \$       3,274,538       \$       /,857,938       [X] Changed         \$       6,916,600       \$       5,500,000       []       Purchase         \$       6,916,600       \$       5,500,000       I       Purchase         \$       0,916,600       \$       5,500,000       I       Purchase         \$       0,916,600       \$       5,500,000       I       Purchase         \$       0,916,000       \$       5,500,000       I       Purchase         \$       0,916,000       \$       5,500,000       I       Purchase         \$       0,916,000       \$       1,900,000       I       1,900,000       I       1,900,000	

2022,

hairperson (or Authorized Designee) Signature	Date
Damil C. Weaug	8/8/24

Owner	PID	Case	Mail	ATD?	NOTES
TANNE JASON & TANNE SAYO TRUSTEES	92009820	308	15512 SE 40TH CIR	Jason Tanne	The appellant referred to his three comparable properties that all have a lower price per square foot compared to the subject property. He presented two recent sales which were sold in 2024 for approximately \$246 per square foot. Work on the door, windows, stucco, and deck repair between 2022 and 2023 on the subject property cost \$121,000. Leaks continue in the house, faulty work was performed by contractors causing additional damage, and stucco siding needs to still be finished. The appellant estimates that \$450,000 more work may need to be done. The appellant is currently in disputes with the insurance companies to settle for the cost of the poor work that caused damages.
MORAN MICHAEL J	145094012	373	2316 NE 80TH ST	Mike Moran	In contrast to the Assessor's statement, the appellant stated that the senior exemption program no longer applies to the subject property. The subject property is over 20 years old and has poor construction causing it to need many repairs. The appellant estimates the repairs would cost close to \$100,000, while the Assessor's Office currently only considers a value of \$40,000 for cost to cure. The appellant referred to his comparable worksheet with similar properties with lower sale values. The subject property has a poor location because it is surrounded by apartments providing no privacy and suffers from amplified noise.
HOSMER LISA D	195125000	161	14606 NE 159TH ST	Lisa Hosmer	The appellant stated this house was bought as a distressed repossessed property in 2015. The house needed extensive renovation and continues to need additional work. The appellant does not believe a buyer would pay more than \$800,000, and the subject property does not have the curb appeal to attract the assessed value.
HAVERKAMPF LORRAINE & HAVERKAMPF DAVID	227625000	682	6742 NE 239TH ST	NONE	No attendance

CAPE RYAN	117896752	370	208 NE 136TH ST	Ryan Cape	The appellant stated the siding is defective and rotting off. The house needs work to be in sellable condition. The appellant referred to moisture problems that affect the subject property. Homes in the subject property's neighborhood are not currently selling.
JIM PATTISON DEVELOPMENT INC	114779000	446	PO BOX 92129	Matthew Megarity	The appellant's representative stated the property sold in March 2022 for \$5,500,000. They believe the value would have decreased from that sale price with market conditions. The cost approach with the land value and depreciation for age valued the property at \$5,320,000. The representative updated their value \$5,500,000.
NHI-REIT OF NEXT HOUSE LLC	114512000	450	PO BOX 92129	Matthew Megarity	The appellant stated this property is a senior living facility with no major changes in the property from previous years. The representative stated the cost approach is the best way to value this property because the income and sales approaches value the business, which could include health care costs and other factors unrelated to the real estate value. The representative referred to a document that state the WA State Board of Tax appeals agreed that the cost approach is the best process for valuing senior living facilities. With a 14% depreciation and the added land value, the subject property is valued at \$14,990,000 by cost approach. This would value the property at approximately \$134 per square foot. Since the subject property was constructed in 1997 and only has three floors, the representative considers the subject property senior citizen multi-residence and not a Home for the Elderly.